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Abstract

As classification accuracy is strongly dependent on the set of features used as input variables. For automatic feature acquisition, the literature provides us with numerous strategies aiming to find a “best” set of features. Thus we need to find a “best” set of features given a constraint on the computational complexity or cost of the feature acquisition, which may dominate the cost of the classifier. In our work we improve on the accuracy of ACO FSS algorithm by incorporating the Perceptron Classifier as fitness function of ACO whose classification error is taken as the Cost of Classifier. This method improves on accuracy of selecting minimum number of features with maximum accuracy achieved.
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Introduction

Data mining is used to mine useful data from a large amount of data in the same way as extraction of minerals from mine fields. Clustering is the classification of similar objects into different groups, or more precisely, the partitioning of a data set into subsets (clusters), so that the data in each subset share some common trait. Data mining techniques can be implemented rapidly on existing software and hardware platforms to enhance the value of existing information resources, and can be integrated with new products and systems as they are brought online. Data mining is more than just conventional data analysis. It uses traditional analysis tools like statistics and graphics plus those associated with artificial intelligence such as rule induction and neural nets. It is all of these, but different. It is a distinctive approach or attitude to data analysis. The emphasis is not so much on extracting facts, but on generating hypotheses.

Feature selection problem deals with selection of an optimum relevant set of features or attributes that are necessary for the recognition process (classification or clustering). It helps reduce the dimensionality of the measurement space. The goal of feature selection is mainly threefold. First, it is practically and computationally difficult to work with all the features if the number of features is too large. Second, many of the given features may be noisy, redundant, and irrelevant to the classification or clustering task at hand. Finally, it is a problem when the number of features becomes much larger than the number of input data points. For such cases, reduction in dimensionality is required to permit meaningful data analysis. Feature selection facilitates the use of easily computable algorithms for efficient classification or clustering. In general, the feature selection problem (Ω, P) can formally be defined as an optimization problem: determine the feature set $F^*$ for which

$$P(F^*) = \min_{F \in \Omega} P(F, X)$$
Where $\Omega$ is the set of possible feature subsets, $F$ refers to a feature subset, and $P: \Omega \times \psi \to (R)$ denotes a criterion to measure the quality of a feature subset with respect to its utility in classifying/clustering the set of points $X \in \psi$. The elements of $X$, which are vectors in $d$-dimensional space, are projected into the subspace of dimension $d_F = |F| = d$ defined by $F$. $P$ is used to judge the quality of this subspace.

Feature selection can be either supervised or unsupervised. For the supervised case, the actual class labels of the data points are known. In filter approaches for supervised feature selection, features are selected based on their discriminatory power with regard to the target classes. In wrapper approaches for supervised feature selection, the utility of $F$ is usually measured in terms of the performance of a classifier by comparing the class labels predicted by the classifier for feature space $F$ with the actual class labels.

The organization of present paper is as follow. Section II presents the literature survey which highlights the facts of various researchers. Section III describes the methodology used for proposed work as in this paper ant colony optimization is used. Result analysis is presented in section IV following the concluding remarks in section V.

I. Literature review

This section will provide the brief description and highlights the contribution, remarks and factors of the work done by the researchers. Many attempts have been made in the past to achieve minimization of mean square error & execution time.

Hong Zeng et al., 2008 [13] This paper addresses the problem of feature selection for the high dimensional data clustering. In this paper, they propose a novel feature weighting scheme for a kernel based clustering criterion, in which the weight for each feature is a measure of its contribution to the clustering task.

Zheng Zhao et al., 2009 [14] In this research paper the evolving and adapting capabilities of robust intelligence are best manifested in its ability to learn. Machine learning enables computer systems to learn, and improve performance. Feature selection facilitates machine learning (e.g., classification) by aiming to remove irrelevant features.

Daniela M. Witten et al., 2010 [15] In this paper they consider the problem of clustering observations using a potentially large set of features. One might expect that the true underlying clusters present in the data differ only with respect to a small fraction of the features, and will be missed if one clusters the observations using the full set of features.

Iffat A. Gheyas et al., 2010 [16] This paper Searching for an optimal feature subset from a high dimensional feature space is known to be an NP complete problem. They present a hybrid algorithm, SAGA, for this task. They compare the performance overtime of SAGA and well-known algorithms on synthetic and real data sets.

Deng Cai et al., 2010 [17] In this research paper in many data analysis tasks, one is often confronted with very high dimensional data. Feature selection techniques are designed to find the relevant feature subset of the original features which can facilitate clustering, classification and retrieval.

John Q.Gan et al., 2011 [18] This paper in brain-computer interface (BCI) development, temporal/spectral/ spatial/statistical features can be extracted from multiple electroencephalography (EEG) signals and the number of features available could be up to thousands.

Cordeiro Ferreira et al., 2013 [19] In this paper given a very large moderate-to-high dimensionality dataset, how could one cluster its points. For datasets that don’t fit even on a single disk, parallelism is a first class option. In this paper they explore Map Reduce for clustering this kind of data.
Manuel Ignacio Lopez et al., 2012 [20] This paper proposes a classification via clustering approach to predict the final marks in a university course on the basis of forum data. The results show that the Expectation-Maximisation (EM) clustering algorithm yields results similar to those of the best classification algorithms, especially when using only a group of selected attributes.

Houtao Deng et al., 2012 [21] In this research paper they propose a tree regularization framework, which enables many tree models to perform feature selection efficiently. The key idea of the regularization framework is to penalize selecting a new feature for splitting when its gain (e.g. information gain) is similar to the features used in previous splits.

Quanquan Gu et al., 2012 [22] In this paper Fisher score is one of the most widely used supervised feature selection methods. In this paper, they present a generalized Fisher score to jointly select features. It aims at finding a subset of features, which maximize the lower bound of traditional Fisher score.

Kuan-Cheng Lin et al., 2016 [18] This paper presented an improved version of the cat swarm optimization (CSO) has been proposed recently and the authors demonstrated that their version of algorithm outperformed earlier PSO based models and initial version of CSO algorithm. Since CSO is restricted due to long computation time taken thus, the authors modified and improved CSO to present ICSO algorithm. The algorithm was experimented to select features for text classification in big data. Results showed that ICSO outperforms CSO in terms of classification accuracy when applied to UCI datasets. This section has provided the brief review of the work done in past. It also highlighted the factors, contribution and remarks on the achievement.

II. Frame Work for Implementation

In this research work following objectives are being analysed in the presented research work:-
1. Selection of Multi-dimensional Data for study, Open Source Multi-dimensional data sets will be used for the implementation.
2. Data Pre-Processing and Noise and Irrelevant feature removal from the data sets.
3. Implementation of Correlation based Ant Colony Optimization (CB-ACO) while hybridizing it with Perceptron network for feature Selection with ability to data partition and feature selection. While fulfilling the above objectives Extensive experiments will be carried out to compare the proposed algorithm with existing representative feature selection algorithms, including, Cat Swarm Optimization (CSO) and its improved version ICSO [18] in order to show the performance improvement during feature subset selection based on prediction analysis, when implemented with the same datasets. The steps of CB-ACO can be described by the flowchart shown in Fig. below, which are described in more details as follows:-

Step 1: Initialize the parameters of ACO, including the number of ants $m$, the maximum number of iteration $T_{max}$, the tunable parameters $\alpha, \beta$ and $\rho$, the initial pheromone level $\tau_0$, the pheromone trail interval $[\tau_{min}, \tau_{max}]$ and the heuristic information, $\eta$ of all edges using one of the methods described in the previous sub-section. In proposed ACO based $T_{max}$ is stalled using a gradient. Stalling is defined as “If gradient is does not changed for specified number of iterations and a above a threshold” the $T_{max}$ is updated.

Step 2: Construct candidate solutions from a randomly selected node and select the rest $(2(n-1)$ roads ending to $(n-1)$ features, follow the proposed probabilistic transition rule. Choosing sub-node 1 of a feature means selecting, and sub-node 0 means deselecting that feature. Stay in this step while all features (nodes) have been visited by each ant. Size of candidates is defined using an Heuristic function.
utilizing number features present in the database. The proposed flow chart of the process explained above is as shown in Figure 1.

Fig 1 Flow Chart of the Proposed Process

Step3: Evaluate the candidate feature subsets using the trained classifier by testing the classification accuracy on the training set.

Step4: After evaporation of the laid pheromone, find the ant with the best feature subset. It is the subset with the best classification accuracy. Only permit this ant to deposit pheromone according to the scheme explained in the previous section. Keep the pheromone values of edges in the interval $[\tau_{\text{min}}, \tau_{\text{max}}]$. If the maximum number of iteration, $T_{\text{max}}$ is not reached go back to step 2; otherwise, go to the next step.

Step5: search for the global best subset which produces the highest classification accuracy among all local best solutions.

### III. Result Analysis

MATLAB platform has been used to evaluate the results. Some assumptions are made to simulate the results as discussed. The different parameters used in proposed work are given in Table 1. The performance parameters are analyzed using MATLAB 2016a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Num Features</th>
<th>Num Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 gives database attributes
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Fig 3 Execution time for Selected attributes (num = 6) on cancer database

Fig 4 MSE for Selected attributes (num = 6) on house database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>No. of features</th>
<th>CSO Avg Accuracy</th>
<th>ICSO Avg Accuracy</th>
<th>Proposed ACO Avg Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bupa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>82.630</td>
<td>84.9664</td>
<td>99.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>81.380</td>
<td>82.4248</td>
<td>99.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>89.740</td>
<td>92.0563</td>
<td>99.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vowel</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>99.899</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95.555</td>
<td>97.0370</td>
<td>98.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>91.739</td>
<td>92.9188</td>
<td>99.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88.292</td>
<td>90.8964</td>
<td>99.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>82.8000</td>
<td>98.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>99.428</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Comparison of Average Accuracy of Proposed Technique with Cat Swarm optimization and its variants

Fig 6 Comparison of proposed ACO with Cat Swarm optimization and its variants

IV. Conclusion
In machine learning and statistics, feature selection plays a very important role as a process for the selection of the relevant features from the pool of features for use in model construction and for the better classification and understanding of the data. There are many irrelevant features or attributes in the data that does not give us any information at all or gives very less information. So it is very much compulsory to have a subset of features that can classify describe the data at its best. In this work Feature subset selection algorithm is done. Later, we hybridized ACO and Neural Network Classifier to obtain their excellent features by synthesizing them. The Back propagation Neural Network is used as a classifier which is trained for minimum errors the Task of ACO is to Select Best Features for ANN such that the error is minimum and the number of features is also minimum. The results as compared in Table 2 show that proposed algorithm provides better performance in terms of Average Accuracy Rate as compared to CSO and ICSO. In future it is intended to analyze variable selection properties using the ACO procedure and establishing sufficient conditions required for successful recovery of the set of relevant variables. Work must also be focused towards reducing time complexity taken by FSS process in high-dimensional data using meta variables.
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